

## Female Pelvic Organ Prolapse

### DynaMesh®-PR / -PRR

57. Jan H, Ghai V, Thakar R (2018)  
**Simplified Laparoscopic Sacrohysteropexy.**  
*Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* 25:1134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmig.2018.01.014>

## Female Pelvic Organ Prolapse

### DynaMesh®-PRS

42. Balsamo R, Illiano E, Zucchi A, et al (2018)

**Sacrocolpopexy with polyvinylidene fluoride mesh for pelvic organ prolapse:  
Mid term comparative outcomes with polypropylene mesh.**

*European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 220:74–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.11.018>

## Female Pelvic Organ Prolapse

### DynaMesh®-PRP

22. Noé KG, Spüntrup C, Anapolski M (2013)  
**Laparoscopic pectopexy: a randomised comparative clinical trial of standard laparoscopic sacral colpo-cervicopexy to the new laparoscopic pectopexy. Short-term postoperative results.**  
*Arch Gynecol Obstet* 287:275–280. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-012-2536-7>
32. Noé K-G, Schiermeier S, Alkatout I, Anapolski M (2015)  
**Laparoscopic Pectopexy: A Prospective, Randomized, Comparative Clinical Trial of Standard Laparoscopic Sacral Colpocervicopexy with the New Laparoscopic Pectopexy—Postoperative Results and Intermediate-Term Follow-Up in a Pilot Study.**  
*Journal of Endourology* 29:210–215. <https://doi.org/10.1089/end.2014.0413>
45. Kale A, Biler A, Terzi H, et al (2017)  
**Laparoscopic pectopexy: initial experience of single center with a new technique for apical prolapse surgery.**  
*International braz j urol* 43:903–909. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1677-5538.ibju.2017.0070>
84. Noé GK, Schiermeier S, Papathemelis T, et al (2020)  
**Prospective international multicenter pectopexy trial: Interim results and findings post surgery.**  
*European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology* 244:81–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2019.10.022>
98. Noé GK, Schiermeier S, Papathemelis T, et al (2021)  
**Prospective International Multicenter Pelvic Floor Study: Short-Term Follow-Up and Clinical Findings for Combined Pectopexy and Native Tissue Repair.**  
*JCM* 10:217. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10020217>

## Female Pelvic Organ Prolapse

### DynaMesh®-CESA / -VASA

33. Jaeger et al. (2016)  
**Does the Patients Age have an Influence on the Outcome of Cesa (Cervico-Sacropexy) and Vasa (Vagino-Sacropexy) for the Treatment of Urinary Incontinence in Women?**  
*| Open Access | OMICS International*
34. Rajshekhar S, Mukhopadhyay S, Morris E (2016)  
**Early safety and efficacy outcomes of a novel technique of sacrocolpopexy for the treatment of apical prolapse.**  
*International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics 0: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.05.007>*
35. Ludwig S, Stumm M, Mallmann P, Jager W (2016)  
**Surgical replacement of the uterosacral and pubourethral ligaments as treatment for urgency urinary incontinence.**  
*Austin J Womens Health 3:1019*
36. Joukhadar R, Meyberg-Solomayer G, Hamza A, et al (2015)  
**A Novel Operative Procedure for Pelvic Organ Prolapse Utilizing a MRI-Visible Mesh Implant: Safety and Outcome of Modified Laparoscopic Bilateral Sacropexy.**  
*BioMed Research International 2015:1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/860784>*
73. Cassis C, Mukhopadhyay S, Morris E (2019)  
**Standardizing abdominal sacrocolpopexy for the treatment of apical prolapse: One year on.**  
*Int J Gynecol Obstet ijgo.12935. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.12935>*
85. Rexhepi S, Rexhepi E, Stumm M, et al (2018)  
**Laparoscopic Bilateral Cervicosacropexy and Vaginosacropexy: New Surgical Treatment Option in Women with Pelvic Organ Prolapse and Urinary Incontinence.**  
*Journal of Endourology 32:1058-1064. <https://doi.org/10.1089/end.2018.0474>*